

## GRASSROOTS INNOVATION ECOSYSTEMS: CATALYZING INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA

Dr. Pitshou Moleka, PhD

Managing African Reserch Network, Kinshasa/DR Congo.  
Postdoctoral Fellow, Eudoxia Research Centre/India.

### Article Info

Article Received: 21 May 2024  
Article Revised: 10 June 2024  
Published on: 01 July 2024



\*Corresponding author:  
Dr. Pitshou Moleka, PhD  
Managing African Reserch Network,  
Kinshasa/DR Congo.

### ABSTRACT

This article examines the role of grassroots innovation ecosystems in driving inclusive and sustainable development in Africa. It explores the key actors, stakeholders, and dynamics within these decentralized innovation landscapes, highlighting how they foster the co-creation of contextually-relevant solutions to address the diverse needs of underserved communities. The article delves into case studies from various African countries, analyzing the factors that enable the emergence and scaling of grassroots innovation initiatives, such as community-based technology hubs, informal sector entrepreneurship, and indigenous knowledge systems. Drawing on interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks, including the capabilities approach, social innovation, and sustainability transitions, the article proposes a comprehensive model for strengthening and scaling grassroots innovation ecosystems to achieve equitable and sustainable development outcomes across the African continent.

**KEYWORDS:** Grassroots innovation, inclusive development, sustainable development, innovation ecosystems, Africa.

### I. INTRODUCTION

As Africa grapples with complex development challenges, such as persistent poverty, widening inequality, and environmental degradation, the need for more inclusive, sustainable, and context-relevant solutions has become increasingly pressing. Conventional top-down approaches to innovation and development have often failed to address the diverse needs and aspirations of marginalized communities across the continent. In response, a growing movement of grassroots innovation has emerged, driven by the creativity, ingenuity, and resourcefulness of local actors (Gupta, 2016; Fressoli et al., 2014). Grassroots innovation ecosystems, characterized by decentralized networks of community-based initiatives, informal sector enterprises, and indigenous knowledge systems, have the potential to catalyze inclusive and sustainable development in Africa (Moleka, 2024a; Dandonougbo & Oyelaran-Oyeyinka, 2021; Adesida et al., 2016). These innovation landscapes are increasingly recognized for their ability to generate innovative solutions that are better aligned with the realities and priorities of underserved populations, while also fostering social inclusion, environmental

sustainability, and community empowerment (Moleka, 2024b). This article examines the role of grassroots innovation ecosystems in driving equitable and sustainable development in Africa. It explores the key actors, stakeholders, and dynamics within these decentralized innovation landscapes, highlighting how they foster the co-creation of contextually-relevant solutions to address the diverse needs of marginalized communities. Drawing on interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks, including the capabilities approach, social innovation, and sustainability transitions, the article proposes a comprehensive model for strengthening and scaling grassroots innovation ecosystems to achieve more equitable and sustainable development outcomes across the African continent.

### II. THE EMERGENCE OF GRASSROOTS INNOVATION ECOSYSTEMS IN AFRICA

Grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa have emerged as a response to the limitations of traditional, top-down approaches to innovation and development. These decentralized innovation landscapes are characterized by the active participation and leadership of local communities, informal sector entrepreneurs, and marginalized

groups, who are often excluded from formal innovation systems (Fressoli et al., 2014; Adesida et al., 2016). The rise of grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa can be attributed to several key factors:

1. **Unmet Needs of Marginalized Communities:** Many African communities, particularly those living in rural areas or urban informal settlements, face persistent challenges related to poverty, lack of access to basic services, and limited economic opportunities. Conventional top-down development interventions have often failed to address the contextual nuances and diverse needs of these marginalized populations (Escobar, 2018; Kaplinsky, 2011).

2. **Limitations of Formal Innovation Systems:** Formal innovation systems in Africa, often dominated by large corporations, research institutions, and policymakers, have traditionally catered to the needs of the economic elite and prioritized technological solutions that may not be accessible or relevant to the majority of the population (Lorentzen, 2010; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2018).

3. **Emergence of Community-Based Initiatives:** Across Africa, local communities have been actively engaged in developing innovative solutions to address their own challenges, drawing on their indigenous knowledge, social capital, and collective problem-solving abilities (Gupta, 2016; Dandonougbo & Oyelaran-Oyeyinka, 2021).

4. **Informal Sector Entrepreneurship:** The informal sector in Africa, which accounts for a significant portion of economic activity, has been a fertile ground for grassroots innovation, with entrepreneurs and small-scale producers devising creative workarounds and adaptive technologies to meet their needs (Moleka, 2024c; Hechavarría & Ingram, 2018; Kaplinsky, 2011).

5. **Participatory Approaches to Development:** There has been a growing recognition among development practitioners, policymakers, and scholars of the importance of adopting more participatory, bottom-up approaches that empower local communities to be active agents in shaping their own development trajectories (Swilling, 2014; Escobar, 2018).

These factors have cultivated an environment conducive to the emergence and growth of grassroots innovation ecosystems across Africa, which have become increasingly recognized as vital contributors to inclusive and sustainable development.

### III. KEY ACTORS AND STAKEHOLDERS IN GRASSROOTS INNOVATION ECOSYSTEMS

Grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa are characterized by a diverse array of actors and stakeholders, each playing a crucial role in the co-creation and scaling of innovative solutions. These key players include:

1. **Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) and Social Enterprises:** CBOs and social enterprises are at the heart of grassroots innovation ecosystems, leveraging their deep understanding of local needs and their strong community ties to develop and implement innovative solutions. These organizations often focus on addressing issues related to access to basic services, livelihoods, and environmental sustainability (Datta & Gailey, 2012; Kimmitt & Munoz, 2018).

2. **Informal Sector Entrepreneurs and Small-Scale Producers:** Entrepreneurs and small-scale producers operating within the informal sector are key drivers of grassroots innovation, devising creative solutions and adaptive technologies to overcome resource constraints and meet the needs of their communities (Langevang et al., 2015; London et al., 2010).

3. **Indigenous Knowledge Holders and Traditional Practitioners:** Indigenous knowledge holders and traditional practitioners possess a deep understanding of local ecosystems, cultural practices, and sustainable resource management techniques, which they often leverage to develop innovative solutions that are tailored to their communities' needs (Escobar, 2018; Gupta, 2016).

4. **Community-Based Technology Hubs and Makerspaces:** These spaces serve as incubators and catalysts for grassroots innovation, providing local entrepreneurs and community members with access to tools, resources, and collaborative networks to develop and scale their innovative ideas (Adesida et al., 2016; Dandonougbo & Oyelaran-Oyeyinka, 2021).

5. **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs):** CSOs and NGOs often play a crucial role in supporting and amplifying grassroots innovation initiatives, providing capacity-building, funding, and advocacy support to community-based organizations and social enterprises (Amaeshi et al., 2016; Chant & Sweetman, 2012).

6. **Local Governments and Community Leaders:** Local government authorities and community leaders can contribute to the growth of grassroots innovation ecosystems by creating enabling policy environments, facilitating access to resources, and fostering

community engagement and ownership (Crosby & Bryson, 2005; Steckel et al., 2017).

7. Academic and Research Institutions: Universities, research centers, and academic institutions can collaborate with grassroots innovators to provide technical assistance, co-create knowledge, and facilitate the dissemination and scaling of innovative solutions (Swilling, 2014; Cassiolato & Lastres, 2008).

8. Impact Investors and Philanthropic Organizations: These actors can play a vital role in providing financial resources, technical support, and capacity-building to grassroots innovation initiatives, enabling them to scale and achieve greater societal impact (Mair et al., 2012; London et al., 2010).

### Fig.1: Key actors and Stakeholders in Grassroots Innovation Ecosystems.

The interplay and synergies among these diverse stakeholders within grassroots innovation ecosystems are crucial for fostering inclusive, sustainable, and scalable solutions that address the unique challenges faced by marginalized communities across Africa.

## IV. GRASSROOTS INNOVATION INITIATIVES AND THEIR TRANSFORMATIVE IMPACTS

Grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa have given rise to a wide range of innovative initiatives that are driving inclusive and sustainable development. These initiatives span various sectors and thematic areas, showcasing the creativity, resourcefulness, and problem-solving capabilities of local communities.

### Some key examples include

1. Community-Based Renewable Energy Solutions: In rural and peri-urban areas, grassroots innovators have developed decentralized, off-grid renewable energy solutions, such as solar-powered microgrids and biogas digesters, to improve energy access and reduce reliance on fossil fuels (Berkhout et al., 2012; Swilling, 2014).

2. Eco-Friendly Agricultural Practices and Food Systems: Smallholder farmers and indigenous communities have adapted traditional agricultural techniques and knowledge to devise innovative, sustainable farming practices that enhance food security, biodiversity conservation, and climate resilience (Gupta, 2016; Escobar, 2018).

3. Affordable and Accessible Healthcare Solutions: Grassroots innovators have created low-cost, community-based healthcare solutions, including mobile health clinics, telemedicine platforms, and locally manufactured medical devices, to improve access to quality healthcare in underserved areas (Heeks et al., 2013; Adeoti, 2002).

4. Inclusive and Adaptive Education Initiatives: Community-based organizations and social enterprises have developed innovative educational programs, learning tools, and curriculum models that cater to the diverse needs of marginalized groups, such as girls, children with disabilities, and out-of-school youth (Hechavarría & Ingram, 2018; Chant & Sweetman, 2012).

5. Sustainable Housing and Urban Development Solutions: Grassroots innovators have created affordable, environmentally-friendly housing designs, as well as inclusive urban planning approaches, to address the challenges faced by residents of informal settlements and underserved neighborhoods (Berkhout et al., 2012; Swilling, 2014).

6. Inclusive Financial Services and Livelihoods Initiatives: Informal sector entrepreneurs and community-based organizations have pioneered innovative financial products and services, such as community-based savings groups, mobile money platforms, and social enterprise incubators, to enhance financial inclusion and support the livelihoods of marginalized populations (Mair et al., 2012; London et al., 2010).

These grassroots innovation initiatives have demonstrated their ability to catalyze transformative impacts in various domains, including:

1. Social Inclusion and Empowerment: Grassroots innovation ecosystems have empowered marginalized communities, particularly women and youth, to become active agents of change, fostering greater social inclusion and addressing historical inequities (Datta & Gailey, 2012; Ahl & Marlow, 2012).

2. Environmental Sustainability: Many grassroots innovation initiatives have focused on developing eco-friendly technologies and sustainable resource management practices, contributing to environmental

conservation and climate change mitigation (Berkhout et al., 2012; Gupta, 2016).

**3. Improved Livelihoods and Economic Opportunities:** Grassroots innovation has created new income-generating opportunities, enhanced access to essential services, and strengthened the resilience of marginalized communities, contributing to more inclusive and sustainable livelihoods (Mair et al., 2012; London et al., 2010).

**4. Community Resilience and Adaptive Capacity:** Grassroots innovation ecosystems have fostered greater community resilience and adaptive capacity, enabling local communities to devise context-specific solutions to cope with social, economic, and environmental challenges (Escobar, 2018; Swilling, 2014).

**5. Knowledge Co-Creation and Collaborative Innovation:** Grassroots innovation initiatives have facilitated the co-creation of knowledge and the exchange of ideas between diverse stakeholders, including local communities, researchers, and development practitioners, thereby enriching the overall innovation landscape (Swilling, 2014; Cassiolato & Lastres, 2008). These transformative impacts highlight the immense potential of grassroots innovation ecosystems to drive inclusive and sustainable development in Africa, addressing the needs and aspirations of marginalized communities in a more holistic and empowering manner.

## V. ENABLERS AND CONSTRAINTS OF GRASSROOTS INNOVATION ECOSYSTEMS

The growth and scaling of grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa are influenced by a complex interplay of enablers and constraints, which shape their capacity to catalyze inclusive and sustainable development.

### Enablers of Grassroots Innovation Ecosystems

**1. Supportive Policy Environments:** Enabling policy frameworks, such as those that facilitate access to financing, promote community-based entrepreneurship, and encourage collaborative innovation, can significantly bolster the growth and scaling of grassroots innovation initiatives (Chataway et al., 2014; Lundvall, 2010).

**2. Collaborative Governance Structures:** Inclusive governance models that foster meaningful participation, shared decision-making, and equitable resource allocation among diverse stakeholders, including local communities, can create an enabling environment for grassroots innovation (Crosby & Bryson, 2005; Steckel et al., 2017).

**3. Accessible Financing and Resource Mobilization:** Availability of diverse financing mechanisms, such as microloans, impact investment funds, and community-based resource mobilization, can provide grassroots innovators with the necessary resources to develop, scale, and sustain their initiatives (Mair et al., 2012; London et al., 2010).

**4. Capacity-Building and Knowledge-Sharing:** Initiatives that support the development of skills, knowledge, and networks among grassroots innovators, through activities like training, mentorship, and collaborative platforms, can enhance their ability to design, implement, and scale their solutions (Amanatidou et al., 2020; Swilling, 2014).

**5. Community Engagement and Social Capital:** Strong community engagement, trust-building, and the leveraging of social capital can foster a sense of ownership and sustained participation among local communities, which is crucial for the long-term viability and impact of grassroots innovation initiatives (Balkundi & Kilduff, 2006; Balogun et al., 2003).

### Constraints of Grassroots Innovation Ecosystems

**1. Institutional Barriers and Power Dynamics:** Grassroots innovation ecosystems often face challenges in navigating the formal institutional structures and power dynamics that may be biased towards more conventional, top-down approaches to innovation and development (Fressoli et al., 2014; Pansera & Owen, 2018).

**2. Resource Constraints and Scaling Challenges:** Grassroots innovators frequently operate with limited access to financial resources, infrastructure, and technical expertise, which can hinder their ability to develop, test, and scale their innovative solutions (Hechavarría & Ingram, 2018; Kaplinsky, 2011).

**3. Socio-Cultural Norms and Gender Inequities:** Entrenched socio-cultural norms and gender-based biases can create significant barriers for women, youth, and other marginalized groups in accessing opportunities and participating actively in grassroots innovation ecosystems (Ahl & Marlow, 2012; Roomi & Parrott, 2008).

**4. Lack of Integration with Formal Innovation Systems:** Grassroots innovation initiatives often operate in isolation from formal innovation systems, hindering their ability to access resources, knowledge, and channels for scaling and replication (Lorentzen, 2010; Adeoti, 2002).

**5. Weak Monitoring, Evaluation, and Impact Measurement:** The lack of robust monitoring,

evaluation, and impact measurement frameworks can limit the ability to capture and communicate the transformative impacts of grassroots innovation initiatives, potentially undermining their visibility and legitimacy (Kimmitt & Munoz, 2018; Naudé, 2010).

Understanding and addressing these enabling and constraining factors is crucial for strengthening and scaling grassroots innovation ecosystems to achieve more inclusive and sustainable development outcomes in Africa.

## **VI. Towards a Comprehensive Model for Strengthening Grassroots Innovation Ecosystems**

To enhance the capacity of grassroots innovation ecosystems to catalyze inclusive and sustainable development in Africa, a comprehensive and integrated approach is required. Drawing on interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks, such as the capabilities approach, social innovation, and sustainability transitions, we propose the following model:

### **1. Fostering an Enabling Policy and Institutional Environment**

- Developing policy frameworks that provide grassroots innovators with access to financing, infrastructure, and technical assistance (Ng, Wong & Santos, 2022).
- Promoting inclusive governance structures that facilitate meaningful participation and collaborative decision-making among diverse stakeholders.
- Encouraging institutional collaborations and knowledge-sharing platforms that bridge the gap between grassroots innovation ecosystems and formal innovation systems.

### **2. Building Capacity and Enhancing Access to Resources (Weber, Krawczyk, Ezeonu & Tuggle, 2024)**

- Implementing capacity-building programs to strengthen the skills, knowledge, and entrepreneurial capabilities of grassroots innovators.
- Facilitating access to diverse financing mechanisms, including microloans, impact investment funds, and community-based resource mobilization.
- Fostering the development of community-based technology hubs, makerspaces, and innovation incubators to provide grassroots innovators with the necessary tools and infrastructure (Jakobsson, 2023).

### **3. Promoting Social Inclusion and Gender Equity:**

- Addressing socio-cultural norms and power dynamics that create barriers for women, youth, and other marginalized groups to participate in grassroots innovation ecosystems.

- Implementing targeted initiatives and support structures to empower and amplify the voices and contributions of underrepresented groups.

- Integrating gender-responsive approaches and inclusive design principles into the development and scaling of grassroots innovation initiatives.

### **4. Facilitating Knowledge Co-Creation and Collaborative Innovation**

- Establishing multi-stakeholder platforms and networks that enable the co-creation of knowledge, the exchange of ideas, and the co-design of innovative solutions.

- Encouraging academic and research institutions to collaborate with grassroots innovators, leveraging their expertise and resources to support the development, testing, and dissemination of innovative initiatives.

- Developing monitoring, evaluation, and impact measurement frameworks that capture the multidimensional impacts of grassroots innovation ecosystems, strengthening their visibility and legitimacy.

### **5. Ensuring Environmental Sustainability and Climate Resilience**

- Prioritizing grassroots innovation initiatives that contribute to environmental conservation, sustainable resource management, and climate change adaptation.

- Providing support and incentives for grassroots innovators to develop eco-friendly technologies, renewable energy solutions, and sustainable agricultural practices.

- Integrating indigenous knowledge and traditional resource management techniques into the design and implementation of grassroots innovation initiatives to enhance their environmental sustainability.

**Fig.2: Comprehensive Model for Strengthening Grassroots Innovation Ecosystems.**

By implementing this comprehensive model, policymakers, development practitioners, and innovation ecosystem stakeholders can foster the growth and scaling of grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa, catalyzing more inclusive, sustainable, and equitable development outcomes. This approach recognizes the transformative potential of decentralized, community-driven innovation to address complex societal challenges and empower marginalized communities as active agents of change.

## CONCLUSION


Grassroots innovation ecosystems in Africa have demonstrated their ability to generate contextualized, inclusive, and sustainable solutions that address the diverse needs and aspirations of underserved communities. By fostering the active participation of local actors, leveraging indigenous knowledge, and facilitating collaborative innovation, these decentralized innovation landscapes have the potential to catalyze transformative change towards more equitable and resilient development pathways. This article has explored the key characteristics, dynamics, and impacts of grassroots innovation ecosystems in the African context, highlighting their critical role in driving inclusive and sustainable development. The comprehensive model proposed in this article provides a strategic framework for strengthening and scaling these innovation landscapes, addressing the enabling and constraining factors that shape their capacity to achieve transformative societal impacts. As Africa continues to grapple with complex development challenges, the empowerment and scaling of grassroots innovation ecosystems can serve as a vital catalyst for realizing the continent's sustainable and equitable development goals. By harnessing the creativity, resourcefulness, and collective problem-solving capabilities of local communities, grassroots innovation can contribute to the emergence of more inclusive, resilient, and environmentally-conscious development pathways, ultimately transforming the lives of marginalized populations across the African continent.

## REFERENCES

- Adesida, O., Karuri-Sebina, G., & Resende-Santos, J. (Eds.). (2016). *Innovation Africa: Emerging hubs of excellence*. Emerald Group Publishing.
- Adeoti, J. O. (2002). Building technological capability in the less developed countries: The role of a national system of innovation. *Science and Public Policy*, 29(2), 95-104.
- Ahl, H., & Marlow, S. (2012). Exploring the dynamics of gender, feminism, and entrepreneurship: Advancing debate to escape a dead end? *Organization*, 19(5), 543-562.
- Amaeshi, K., Adegbite, E., & Rajwani, T. (2016). Corporate social responsibility in challenging and non-enabling institutional contexts: Do institutional voids matter? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 134(1), 135-153.
- Amanatidou, E., Gagliardi, D., & Cox, D. (2020). Social innovation at the intersection of the quadruple helix: Towards a conceptual framework. *Industry and Innovation*, 27(2), 103-129.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C. (2018). Openness, ICT, and entrepreneurship in sub-Saharan Africa. *Information Technology for Development*, 24(3), 564-590.
- Balogun, J., Huff, A. S., & Johnson, P. (2003). Three responses to the methodological challenges of studying strategizing. *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(1), 197-224.
- Balkundi, P., & Kilduff, M. (2006). The ties that lead: A social network approach to leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(4), 419-439.
- Berkhout, F., Marcotullio, P. J., & Hanaoka, T. (2012). Understanding transitions for sustainable urban development. In M. P. van Dijk & J. Zhang (Eds.), *Sustainable cities* (pp. 53-66). Springer.
- Cassiolato, J. E., & Lastres, H. M. (2008). Discussing innovation and development: Converging points between the Latin American school and the Innovation Systems perspective. *Globelics Working Paper Series*, 2008-02.
- Chataway, J., Hanlin, R., & Kaplinsky, R. (2014). Inclusive innovation: An architecture for policy development. *Innovation and Development*, 4(1), 33-54.
- Chant, S., & Sweetman, C. (2012). Fixing women or fixing the world? 'Smart economics,' efficiency approaches, and gender equality in development. *Gender & Development*, 20(3), 517-529.
- Crosby, B. C., & Bryson, J. M. (2005). A leadership framework for cross-sector collaboration. *Public Management Review*, 7(2), 177-201.
- Dandonougbo, J. C., & Oyelaran-Oyeyinka, B. (2021). Inclusive innovation and sustainable development in Africa: The role of grassroots innovation hubs. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1202.
- Datta, P. B., & Gailey, R. (2012). Empowering women through social entrepreneurship: Case study of a women's cooperative in India. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 36(3), 569-587.
- Escobar, A. (2018). *Designs for the pluriverse: Radical interdependence, autonomy, and the making of worlds*. Duke University Press.
- Fressoli, M., Arond, E., Abrol, D., Smith, A., Ely, A., & Dias, R. (2014). When grassroots innovation movements encounter mainstream institutions: Implications for models of inclusive innovation. *Innovation and Development*, 4(2), 277-292.

14. Gupta, A. K. (2016). Grassroots innovation for sustainable development: Siding with the marginal. *Global Environmental Change*, 38, 27-37.
15. Hechavarría, D. M., & Ingram, A. E. (2018). The entrepreneurial gender divide: Hegemonic masculinity, emphasized femininity, and organizational forms. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, 10(1), 86-125.
16. Heeks, R., Amalia, M., Kintu, R., & Shah, N. (2013). Inclusive innovation: Definition, conceptualisation, and future research priorities. *Development Informatics Working Paper No. 53*, University of Manchester.
17. Jakobsson, B. (2023). Connecting with and Supporting Grassroots Innovators-A Comparative Case Study.
18. Kaplinsky, R. (2011). Schumacher meets Schumpeter: Appropriate technology below the radar. *Research Policy*, 40(2), 193-203.
19. Kimmitt, J., & Munoz, P. (2018). Sensemaking the 'social' in social entrepreneurship. *International Small Business Journal*, 36(8), 859-886.
20. Langevang, T., Gough, K. V., Yankson, P. W., Owusu, G., & Osei, R. (2015). Bounded entrepreneurial vitality: The mixed embeddedness of female entrepreneurship. *Economic Geography*, 91(4), 449-473.
21. Letty, B., Shezi, Z., & Mudhara, M. (2012). An exploration of agricultural grassroots innovation in South Africa and implications for innovation indicator development. *UNU-MERIT Working Papers*.
22. London, T., Anupindi, R., & Sheth, S. (2010). Creating mutual value: Lessons learned from ventures serving base of the pyramid producers. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(6), 582-594.
23. Lorentzen, J. (2010). Low-income countries and innovation studies: A review of recent literature. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation, and Development*, 2(3), 46-81.
24. Lundvall, B. Å. (2010). National systems of innovation: Toward a theory of innovation and interactive learning (Vol. 2). Anthem Press.
24. Malerba, F. (2002). Sectoral systems of innovation and production. *Research Policy*, 31(2), 247-264.
25. Meagher, K. (2018). Cannibalizing the informal economy: Frugal innovation and economic inclusion in Africa. *The European Journal of Development Research*, 30(1), 17-33.
26. Moleka, P. (2024a). Climate Resilience through Grassroots Innovation: Empowering Congolese Communities to Adapt to Environmental Change. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Research*, Volume 1, Issue 2. Page: 15-18.
27. Moleka, P. (2024b). Empowering Transformation: The Intersection of Information Literacy and Social Change in Academic Libraries. In S. Chizwina & M. Moyo (Eds.), *Examining Information Literacy in Academic Libraries* (pp. 32-47). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1143-1.ch003>
28. Moleka, P. (2024c). Innovative Entrepreneurship through Alternative Finance: A Framework for Sustainable and Innovative Business Models.
29. Mulgan, G. (2006). The process of social innovation. *Innovations: Technology, Governance, Globalization*, 1(2), 145-162.
30. Naudé, W. (2010). Entrepreneurship, developing countries, and development economics: New approaches and insights. *Small Business Economics*, 34(1), 1-12.
31. Neumeier, S. (2012). Why do social innovations in rural development matter, and should they be considered more seriously in rural development research? *Sociologia Ruralis*, 52(4), 448-469.
32. Ng, B. K., Wong, C. Y., & Santos, M. G. P. (2022). Grassroots innovation: Scenario, policy and governance. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 90, 1-12.
33. Onsongo, E. K. (2019). Inclusive innovation and the role of social enterprise in creating employment opportunities for youth in Kenya. *Innovation and Development*, 9(2), 195-212.
33. Pansera, M., & Owen, R. (2018). Framing resource-constrained innovation at the "bottom of the pyramid": Insights from an ethnographic case study in rural Bangladesh. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 131, 309-321.
34. Roomi, M. A., & Parrott, G. (2008). Developing women-owned businesses in Pakistan. *Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 17(2), 213-219.
35. Schot, J., & Geels, F. W. (2008). Strategic niche management and sustainable innovation journeys: Theory, findings, research agenda, and policy. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 20(5), 537-554.
36. Seelos, C., & Mair, J. (2007). Profitable business models and market creation in the context of deep poverty: A strategic view. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 21(4), 49-63.
36. Seyfang, G., & Smith, A. (2007). Grassroots innovations for sustainable development: Towards a new research and policy agenda. *Environmental Politics*, 16(4), 584-603.
37. Smith, A., Fressoli, M., Abrol, D., Around, E., & Ely, A. (2017). *Grassroots innovation movements*. Routledge.
38. Surie, G. (2017). Creating the innovation ecosystem for renewable energy via social entrepreneurship: Insights from India. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 121, 184-195.
39. Swilling, M. (2014). Rethinking the science-policy interface in South Africa: Experiments in

- knowledge co-production. South African Journal of Science, 110(5-6), 1-7.
40. Swilling, M., & Annecke, E. (2012). Just transitions: Explorations of sustainability in an unfair world. UCT Press.
41. van der Have, R. P., & Rubalcaba, L. (2016). Social innovation research: An emerging area of innovation studies? Research Policy, 45(9), 1923-1935.
42. van Dijk, M. P. (2012). Analysing the success of Nairobi's water cartels and its implications for urban development. In M. P. van Dijk & J. Zhang (Eds.), Sustainable cities (pp. 85-116). Springer.
43. Wamae, W. (2009). Enhancing the role of knowledge and innovation for development. International Journal of Technology Management & Sustainable Development, 8(3), 199-220.
44. Weber, P., Krawczyk, K., Ezeonu, B. & Tuggle, F. (2024). How to Leverage Action Research to Develop Context-specific Capacity Building for Civil Society Organizations. *Nonprofit Policy Forum*, 15(1), 49-69. <https://doi.org/10.1515/npf-2022-0041>
45. Zeschky, M., Widenmayer, B., & Gassmann, O. (2011). Frugal innovation in emerging markets. Research-Technology Management, 54(4), 38-45.



**Assets of Publishing with us**

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles

<https://wjims.com/>